

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/519,846   | 01/13/2005                       | Sung Yoon Kim        | 260977US6PCT        | 7194             |  |
| OBLON SPIV   | 7590 10/20/200<br>'AK MCCLELLAND | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.<br>1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                                  |                      | SCHWARTZ, DARREN B  |                  |  |
|  |                                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                                  |                      | 2435                |                  |  |
|  |                                  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                                  |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                                  |                      | 10/20/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/519,846      | KIM ET AL.   |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| DARREN SCHWARTZ | 2435         |  |  |

|  | DARREN SCHWARTZ  | 2435   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea   | rs on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION   | FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl</li> </ol>  | eplies: (1) an amendment, affida<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance                                   | vit, or other evidence, v<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or             | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | data of the final minetion   |  |  |
| b) In the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)   | visory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing.  ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | ng date of the final rejection                                 | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of these been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of such under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office date may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.  | nsion and the corresponding amount<br>ortened statutory period for reply original                        | t of the fee. The appropri-<br>ginally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed with the  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t  | o avoid dismissal of the                                       |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b         <ul> <li>(a)</li></ul></li></ol>   | sideration and/or search (see NC<br>/);  | TE below);   |  |
| appeal; and/or   | er form for appear by materially re  | saucing or simplifying t                                       | ie issues ioi                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the conte | prresponding number of finally re  | jected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | ompliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | wable ir submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendmen  | it canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) phow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | ill be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-20 and 23-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence is                                       | necessary and                            |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | ercome all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fail                                       | s to provide a                           |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attach                                       | ed.                                      |

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Kimyen Vu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435

/DARREN SCHWARTZ/ Examiner, Art Unit 2435 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims necessitate further search and consideration of the art.